PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty) REC'D 2 2 JUL 2005

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

PCT **WIPO**

Applicant's or agent's file reference 22307 WO-BUR	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/EP2004/013627	International filing date (da 01.12.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02.12.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C12Q1/68, C07H21/00					
Applicant ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS GMBH et al.					
 This report is the International preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2. This REPORT consists of a total	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. \square sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International I	containing a				
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	pinion				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establishr	nent of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o	f invention				
applicability; cl	itations and explanations) with regard to novelty supporting such staten	r, inventive step or industrial nent		
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum					
	s in the international appli				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internationa	al application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	ls report ·		
Date of submission of the demand		·	•		
17.03.2005		21.07.2005			
Name and mailing address of the International preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	Constitutes Patrician, to		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		Bardili, W			
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89	2399-2132		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013627

	Box No. I Basis of the report		
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	which is the language of a tra	lations from the original language into the following language, anslation furnished for the purposes of: For Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) For Rules 12.4) For Rules 12.4) For Rules 12.4) For Rules 12.4)	
2.	. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages		
	1-33	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-14	as originally filed	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	1/4-4/4	as originally filed	
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3	. \square The amendments have resu	ulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pagesthe claims, Nos.		
٠	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (spe	ecify):	
	any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specify):	
4	had not been made, since they supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	ished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the l).	
	 □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figster □ the sequence listing (sp □ any table(s) related to s 	s ecify):	
	* If item 4 applies, s	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013627

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

110.

1-14 1-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/013627

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

DE-A-100 50 942 discloses a method to detect methylation of cytosine in DNA samples wherein the cytosine bases of the DNA are deaminated and converted to uracil bases. The deamination is effected by sodium sulfite or hydrogen sulfite treatment of the DNA and subsequent alkaline hydrolysis of the intermediate sulfite cytosine adducts (see example 1). Novelty of the claimed method to convert cytosine bases to uracil bases in a nucleic acid is recognised in view of the fact that guanidinium hydrogen sulfite is used in the deamination of cytosine.

The applicants submit that "guanidinium hydrogen sulfite can replace the standard deamination reagent showing a somewhat better performance" (page 19 of the description). The table at page 19 of the description, however, shows only that the difference in the mean C_T values between the prior art and the claimed method is about the same as within each set of experiments 1-5 for each of the individual sulfite reagents (approximately 0.4). Hence, the comparative examples do not indicate a significant improvement over D1. The object of the invention can therefore only be seen in the provision of a further method to deaminate cytosine in DNA. Since the deamination reaction and the intermediates involved were well-known before the filing date of the application it was obvious to a skilled person that the sodium ion of the sulfite reagent was not essential to the reaction and could be replaced with another positive ion, for instance the guanidinium ion. Inventive step therefore cannot be acknowledged.